Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 31584-P02324	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. PCT/JP2003/015568	International filing date (day/month/) 04 December 2003 (04.12.20					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC B25J 15/04, H05K 13/04						
Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.						
This report is the international prelin Authority under Article 35 and trans	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	4 sheets, including this	cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied by a						
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This report contains indications rela	ting to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of the re	port					
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with regard to novelty	inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of unity	of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand	Date of comp	Date of completion of this report				
30 April 2004 (30.04.2	2004)	28 October 2004 (28.10.2004)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized of	Authorized officer				
Facsimile No.	Telenhone No	Telephone No				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2003/015568

Box No	. I	Basis of the report					
1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:						
	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))						
	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)						
	international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)						
furni.	shed t are no	rd to the elements of the international application, this report is based of the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are refect annexed to this report):	on (replacement sheets which have been rred to in this report as "originally filed"				
		international application as originally filed/furnished					
	the d	lescription:					
	page		, as originally filed/furnished				
ļ	page						
	page						
	the c	laims:					
	page		, as originally filed/furnished				
	page	,,	together with any statement) under Article 19				
	page page						
	page	s* received by this Authority on					
	the d	rawings:					
	page		, as originally filed/furnished				
İ	page						
<u> </u>	page	received by this Authority on					
	a seq	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to	Sequence Listing.				
3.	The	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description, pages					
	H	the claims, Nos.					
	H	the drawings, sheets/figs					
	H						
	뭄	the sequence listing (specify):					
any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):							
4.	made	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to thic, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, a 70.2(c)). the description, pages	s report and listed below had not been as indicated in the Supplemental Box				
* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."							
L							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP03/15568

Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
1.	n response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
	restricted the claims.
\boxtimes	paid additional fees.
	paid additional fees under protest.
	neither restricted nor paid additional fees.
2. Ti	is Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3. This Au	hority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
co	nplied with.
⊠ по	complied with for the following reasons:
Claims 1	and 2 describe a tool exchange device and claim 3 describes a tool.
description feature of and it is r	cical feature of claim 1, 2 is in the structure of a device for exchanging tools and there is no in concerning the tool to which the exchange device relates. On the other hand, the technical claim 3 is in the structure of the tool itself in the electronic component mounting apparatus not described whether this is a special tool to be used together with the tool exchange device in claims 1 and 2 or a tool suitable for using the tool exchange device.
establishe accordan	e, no relationship between the features of claims 1 and 2 and the features of claim 3 can be ad and there is no common feature that can be considered as a special technical feature in see with the meaning of Article 2 of PCT Rule 13.2. Therefore, a technical relationship among the ferent inventions in accordance with the meaning of PCT Rule 13 cannot be found.
Accordin	gly, claims 1 to 3 clearly do not fulfill the requirement of unity of invention.
4. Consequ	ently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
\triangleright	all parts.
Γ	the parts relating to claim No
l	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Claims

Claims

International application No.

PCT/JP03/15568

YES

NO

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement						
Novelty (N)	ty (N)	Claims	1-3	YES		
	Claims		МО			
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2	YES			
		Claims	1 2	NO		

1-3

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Industrial applicability (IA)

Document 1: Microfilm of the specification and drawings annexed to the request of Japanese Utility Model Application No. 18357/1990 (Laid-open No. 109784/1991) (Yamagata Casio Co., Ltd.), 11 November, 1991, pages 5 to 6, 11 to 15; Figs. 5 to 8 (Family: none).

Document 2: Microfilm of the specification and drawings annexed to the request of Japanese Utility Model Application No. 110483/1979 (Laid-open No. 28894/1981) (Nachi-Fujikoshi Corp.), 13 August, 1981, pages 5 to 6, 9 to 10; Figs. 3 to 5 (Family: none).

Document 3: JP, 2000-24975, A (Director General, Agency of Industrial Science and Technology), 25 January, 2000. Par. Nos. [0017] to [0019]; Figs. 2 to 6 (Family: none).

<Claim 1>

The invention of claim 1 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 and document 2.

The assumed configuration is described in document 1. A "support stand 4" supported by directly placing a full-suction nozzle 3 (equivalent to a "tool") in document 1 is equivalent to a "holding portion 11" in the invention of claim 1, and an "engaging groove 3a2" provided in the body of the full-suction nozzle in document 1 is equivalent to the "step portion" in the invention of claim 1.

The configuration for holding with a three-point support and moving means for moving an engaging member between the engagement position and disengagement position is described in document 2.

Here, selecting a L-like cross-sectional shape of the engaging groove in document 1 is merely a small design variation in a specific implementation of the configuration.

<Claim 3>

The invention of claim 3 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 and document 3.

Selecting a L-like cross-sectional shape of the engaging groove in document 1 is merely a small design variation in a specific implementation of the configuration. Further, a configuration in which the engaging portions are provided so as to face the radial direction and to be parallel to each other is described in document 3.

As for the tool shape described in claim 3, because the configuration of the operation section is not clear, it cannot be considered as limited to a configuration comprising no member protruding in the radial direction of the tool beyond the step portion with an L-like cross section, as is claimed in the Written Response.

<Claim 2>

The invention of claim 2 is not described in any of the documents cited in the ISR and is not obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Form PCT/ IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (January 2004)